## Clean Up Portland Harbor

#### **Submission Date**

2016-06-15 12:44:38

### Letter

Dr. Ms. McCarthy, The proposed c eanup of the Port and Harbor s a b g w n for ndustry and a bad dea for the pub c. EPA's c eanup proposa tack es just 8% of a s te area that s 100% tox c. A more aggress ve p an s needed to prevent even more harm to human hea th and the env ronment. On beha f of a peop e who re y on the r ver for food, recreat on, emp oyment and cu ture, I urge the EPA to mp ement a p an that: Moves qu ck y and susta nab y reduces contam nants caus ng harm to W amette and Co umb a R ver resources. Inc udes ongo ng mon tor ng and c eanup upr ver and downr ver from the s te. Contr butes to hea thy f sh that are safe to eat for a peop e. Ho ds po uters accountab e for creat ng a safer Port and Harbor. These e ements get us c oser to the p an our commun t es deserve. And I deserve a c ean, safe Port and Harbor. \*Subm tted dur ng the comment per od between June 9, 2016 to August 8, 2016 regard ng the EPA's Port and Harbor Feas b ty Study and Proposed P an.

### **First Name**

(b)

#### **Last Name**

(b) (6)

## E-mail

(b) (6)

# Message (500 Character Limit)

This proposal doesn't address safe disposal of dredging materials, near the scope of the areas affected, the level of PCBs that will continue to persist in the environment and the communities that have been sustained by the river. The assets of the politorist could more than enough afford to do the necessary clean up of the industrial sewer that they have made of the river that is a common resource for a land the future.